

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,625	01/22/2004	Meng-An Pan	58268.00350	3541
32294	7590 08/25/2006	EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SA	ANDERS & DEMPSE	NGUYEN, TUAN HOANG		
	S CRESCENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CO	RNER, VA 22182	2618	-	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/761,6	25	PAN ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit			
		Tuan H. I	• •	2618			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL asions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no er cation. bry period will apply and v by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICA vent, however, may a reply vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS plication to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,		
Status							
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <i>06/02/2006</i> .					
·	, , ,	☐ This action is	non-final.	·			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims	•					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the app	lication.					
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)i	a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO			lail Date mal Patent Application (P1	ΓO-152)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 06/02/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to Applicant's remark on pages 4-5, and 7, Applicant argues that Eidson et al. (U.S PAT. 6,255,906 hereinafter "Eidson") and Fukasawa et al. (U.S PAT. 5,715,521 hereinafter "Fukasawa") references cited by the Examiner fails to disclose or suggest all of the elements of the claims. Specifically, Applicants argue that Eidson and Fukasawa fail to disclose or suggest "powering on or off at least one branch of the power amplifier according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power of the amplifier," as recited in claim 1 and similarly recited in claim 8. Similarly, Eidson and Fukasawa do not disclose or suggest "a determining engine, communicatively coupled to the receiving engine, capable of determining how many branches of a power amplifier to power on or off according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power," as recited in claim 9. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant argument.

Consider claims 1, 8, and 9, Applicant should refer to Eidson reference (see fig. 1 col. 2 lines 35-47 and col. 5 lines 55-67) where as the Examiner interpreted "powering on or off at least one branch of the power amplifier (each branch of the power amplifier connected in parallel) according to the received instruction (a control circuitry) to enable a logarithmic change in output power of the amplifier".

Application/Control Number: 10/761,625 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

Furthermore, the Applicant argues that Eidson and Fukasawa references cited by the Examiner fails to disclose or suggest "a plurality of transistors, each transistor being communicatively coupled to a branch of the plurality of branches" for controlling transistors, as recited in claim 15. Examiner respectfully disagrees with the Applicant argument.

Consider claim 15, Applicant should refer to Eidson reference (see fig. 6 col. 14 lines 50-55) where as the Examiner interpreted "a plurality of transistors (within power amplifiers array), each transistor being communicatively coupled to a branch of the plurality of branches (power amplifiers)".

Therefore, the teaching of the prior art references still read on.

Base on the above rational, it is believed that the claimed limitations are met by the references submitted and therefore, the rejection are maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eidson et al. (U.S PAT. 6,255,906 hereinafter "Eidson") in view of Fukasawa et al. (U.S PAT. 5,715,521 hereinafter "Fukasawa").

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Eidson discloses powering on or off at least one branch of the power amplifier according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power of the amplifier (Fig. 1 col. 5 lines 55-67); and amplifying a signal according to the adjusted output power (col. 2 lines 9-12). Eidson differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier. However, Fukasawa teaches receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier (col. 6 lines 46-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Eidson for receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier, such that the sync signals can be transmitted at optimal power level in order to keep total interference within acceptable bounds, as taught by Fukasawa (col. 6 line 46 through col. 7 line 2).

Regarding claim 2, Eidson further discloses transmitting the amplified signal (col. 15 lines 11-14).

Regarding claims 3 and 10, Fukasawa further discloses the instruction specifies a percentage change in power (col. 6 lines 46-57, the controller instructs the variable

gain amplifier to adjust its output power to the designated level is determined from the number of stations currently communicating, therefore, the power level is propotional changed (percentage change) when ever the number of stations change).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, Eidson further discloses the instruction specifies a dB change in power (col. 11 lines 13-16).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, Eidson further discloses the powering on or off a branch of the power amplifier linearly in dB changes the output power of the amplifier (col. 5 lines 17-26).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, Eidson further discloses thermometer coded power control words are used to power on and off branches of the amplifier (col. 5 lines 27-34).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, Eidson further discloses the thermometer coded power control words ensure monotonic power control (col. 5 lines 31-34).

Regarding claim 9, Eidson discloses and a determining engine, communicatively coupled to the receiving engine, capable of determining how many branches of a power amplifier to power on or off according to the received instruction to enable a logarithmic change in output power (Fig. 1 col. 5 lines 55-67). Eidson differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching a receiving engine capable of receiving an

Art Unit: 2618

instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier; and a power amplifier engine, communicatively coupled to the determining engine and the power amplifier, capable of transmitting the determination to the power amplifier. However, Fukasawa teaches a receiving engine capable of receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier (col. 6 lines 46-57); and a power amplifier engine, communicatively coupled to the determining engine and the power amplifier, capable of transmitting the determination to the power amplifier (col. 6 lines 46-57). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Eidson for a receiving engine capable of receiving an instruction to adjust the output power of power amplifier; and a power amplifier engine, communicatively coupled to the determining engine and the power amplifier, capable of transmitting the determination to the power amplifier, such that the sync signals can be transmitted at optimal power level in order to keep total interference within acceptable bounds, as taught by Fukasawa (col. 6 line 46 through col. 7 line 2).

4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eidson et al. (U.S PAT. 6,255,906 hereinafter "Eidson") in view of Miyamoto (U.S PAT. 7,023,275).

Regarding claim 15, Eidson discloses a power amplifier, comprising: a plurality of branches for controlling transistors (Fig. 6 col. 14 lines 50-55); and a plurality of transistors, each transistor being communicatively coupled to a branch of the plurality of

Application/Control Number: 10/761,625

Art Unit: 2618

branches (Fig. 6 col. 14 lines 50-55). Eidson differs from the claimed invention in not

Page 7

specifically teaching the transistors are arranged in a logarithmic scale, thereby

enabling a logarithmic change in output power with the powering on or off of a

transistor. However, Miyamoto teaches the transistors are arranged in a logarithmic

scale, thereby enabling a logarithmic change in output power with the powering on or off

of a transistor (col. 7 line 54 through col. 8 line 19). Therefore, it would have been

obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

modify Eidson for the transistors are arranged in a logarithmic scale, thereby enabling a

logarithmic change in output power with the powering on or off of a transistor, as per

teaching of Miyamoto, such that it provides a variable gain amplifier includes: an

amplifying transistor which amplifies an input signal and a current path control section

which controls a size of the amplifying transistor and a path of a current through the

amplifying transistor.

Regarding claim 16, Eidson further discloses the powering on or off a branch of

the power amplifier linearly in dB changes the output power of the amplifier (col. 5 lines

17-26).

Regarding claim 17, Eidson further discloses a transmitter comprising a power

amplifier (col. 15 lines 11-14).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/761,625

Art Unit: 2618

Page 8

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Mail Stop_____ (Explanation, e.g., Amendment or After-final, etc.)

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Facsimile responses should be faxed to:

(571) 273-8300

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to:

Customer Service Window

Randolph Building

Application/Control Number: 10/761,625

Art Unit: 2618

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria, VA 22313

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan H. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-8329. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am - 5:00Pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Maung Nay A. can be reached on (571) 272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information Consider the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tuan Nguyen Examiner Art Unit 2618 QUOCHIEN B. VUONG

Page 9

PRIMARY EXAMINER